G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1896—97
(Police)

File No. 53

Serial Nos. 9, 11, 44 and 48

B.

~~**Papers Filed.**~~

Month February 97

SUBJECT.

Prosecution in cases of infant marriages during the year 1896—97
(Harihar Sub-Dy)

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Indexed
B Shankath

J. 18/8/96

Gen. 30.]

1614
J 234

189-

(1)

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

SECTION Police
FILE No. 53 of 71
SERIAL No. 9

To

The ~~General~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 15"
Despatched 17" August 1896.
Received 18—

General No. 634
No. 71

Enclosure No. Our

Forwarding Copy of a memo from the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Chitaldrug, reporting on the occurrence of an infant marriage on the 9th March last in the Harihar Sub-Taluk, and requesting sanction to the prosecution of the father of the bride and ——? bridegroom and the Purohit who officiated on the occasion.

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 634/71

Dated Bangalore, 15 August 1896.

Enc. Our.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
IN MYSORE.

To

THE ~~GENERAL~~ SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a memo received from the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Lakkitaldroog, reporting on the occurrence of an infant marriage in the Harihar Sub-Taluk on the 9th March 1896.

2. The Police enquiry shews that one Banawa of Kabyar caste residing at Malebennur in the Harihar Sub-Taluk gave his daughter Kenchi, a girl of about 4 years of age, in marriage to one Kanna, a boy of about 12 years of age and son of Kenchappa of Sirigere on the 9th March 1896 and that the marriage rites were performed by Subbabhatta. The plea of ignorance of the Regulation made by the fathers of the bride and bridegroom is not admissible.

3. The Assistant Superintendent of Police recommends the prosecution of Banawa and Kenchappa, fathers of the bride and bridegroom respectively, but I am of opinion that Subbabhatta, who

who performed the marriage rites should also be prosecuted.

4. Under these circumstances, I beg that sanction may be accorded to prosecute the above said Banawa, Kenchappa and Subbabhatta for an offence under section 3 of the Mysore Infant Marriages Prevention Regulation of No. X of 1894.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

B Jagadeva Rao

Inspector General

of Police.

BJR

Enc to dg Memo

The Police Duffedar of Malebennur in Harihar Sub-Taluk reported to the Deputy Amildar on the 5th April last, that one Kenchi a girl of 4 years old, daughter of one Kabyar Banawa of Malebennur, was ascertained to have been married to one Kanna son of Kenchappa of Sirigere on the 9th March 1896.

The Deputy Amildar enquired into the matter and reported that it was a case against the provisions of the Infant Marriage Regulation No. 10 of 1894-95.

The facts of the case are as follows:—

One Banawa of Kabyar caste residing at Malebennur in the Harihar Sub-Taluk gave his daughter, a girl aged about 4 years, in marriage to one Kanna, son of Kenchappa, on the 9th April last. Parasa, Narayani, Gowda Rungauna, and Subbabhatta speak to the fact of having been present during the marriage ceremony and to the same having been performed by the last mentioned witness. These witnesses further allege that the girl Kenchi is below the age of 5 years. The parents of the bride and bridegroom however plead ignorance of the existence of the Regulation.

The fact of the Regulation having been brought into force was made known to the villagers by beat of "tom tom" in the village and the Patel of the village stands witness to it. This is moreover, the second instance of the celebration of such infant marriage in this District.

There being satisfactory evidence to prove that the provisions of the Regulation have been violated, I beg that the sanction of the Government may be obtained to prosecute Banawa and Kenchappa the

fathers of the bride and bridegroom respectively, the former for celebrating the marriage of the infant girl against the provision of section 3 of the said Regulation and the latter for abetting the said offence.

Signed/ Ahmed Ali.
Assistant Superintendent of Police,
Chitaldroog District

"Copy"
M. Jagannadha Rao
Inspector General
of Police

MS

SECTION Police

FILE NO. 52 of 96

6

(3)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

SER'AI No. 11

READ—

Letter No. 634-71 dated 15: August 1896

from the Insp^r General of Police in Mysore

reporting that on the 9: March 1896 an infant girl of abont 4 years

named Kenchi daughter of one Banawa

of Kabyar caste residing at Inalebennur of Harihar Sub.

was married to one Kanna aged abont 12 years and

son of one Kenchibba of Sirigere

~~at~~ in the Harihar Sub. Taluk.

ORDER THEREON No. 2690. DATED 25-8- 1896

X 284

Under the provisions of section 8 Regulation X of 1894, the Government are pleased to direct the prosecution, for offences under section 3 of the Mysore Infant Marriages Prevention Regulation, of all persons who caused the marriage of the infant girl named Kenchi

with a boy nam Kenna

and of all persons who knowingly aided and abetted the same, ~~and of the said husband.~~

24-8-96. ~~General~~ Secretary. 21/8/96

To

~~The Deputy Commissioner, District.~~

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

Subs 6 sec 7 Mention the individuals to be prosecuted & reasonable time not exceeding 4

OFFICE OF THE
6466
30. 1930
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

7

(4)

Gen. 30.

1897

SECTION Police

FILE No. 53 of 96

SERIAL No. 44

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

The ~~General~~ Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 28

Despatched 29 January 1897

Received 30 ——

General No. 2552

No. 299

Enclosure No.

Reporting that the fathers of the bride and the bride-groom in the Infant marriage that took place in the Haribar Sub-Taluk were sentenced to a f of Rs. 2/- each.

29-1-97

Refer put up.

2/2/97

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 2552/299 Dated Bangalore 28th January 1897.

Enc.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
IN MYSORE.

THE ~~GENERAL~~ SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 2690 J. 284 dated 25th August 1896, sanctioning the prosecution of the parties concerned in the infant marriage that took place in the Harihar Sub-Taluk on the 9th March 1896, I have the honor to state that Kalbyaro Banawa and Kenchappa, fathers of the bride and the bridegroom were prosecuted before the District Magistrate, Chitaldroog, on the 9th December 1896 and were sentenced to pay a fine of Rs. 2/- each and in default of payment, to undergo 2 days' simple imprisonment.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

M Madhava Rao
Inspector General
of Police.

9022 dt 9.2.97
§1053

SECTION Police.
FILE No. 53 of 96
SER N. 48

4 (5)

Read:-

Letter No. 2552/299 §28-1-97 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore reporting with ref: to Govt. Proceedings No. 2690/§284 §25-8-96 that Kabbyar Banawa and Kanchappa, fathers of the bride & bridegroom respectively in the infant marriage that took place in the Harihar Sub Taluk were prosecuted ~~by~~ before the Dt magte Chitaldroog on the 9th Decr 96 & were sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 2 each & in default to undergo 2 days Simple imprisonment.

Order thereon.

Recorded.

4-2-97

8/2/97 Secy

To The I.G. of Police in Mysore.